

Joseph A. Boyle
Vincent P. Rao
Steven J. Moore (*Pro Hac Vice*)
James M. Moriarty (*Pro Hac Vice*)
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
200 Kimball Drive
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054
(973) 503-5900
Attorneys for Defendants
Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and
Cadila Healthcare Limited

RECEIVED
OCT 24 2012
AT 8:30
WILLIAM T. WALSH
CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL
COMPANY LIMITED, TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS NORTH
AMERICA, INC., TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,
TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS
AMERICA, INC., and ETHYPHARM,
S.A.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS USA
INC. and CADILA HEALTHCARE
LIMITED,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO:
3:10-CV-01723-JAP-TJB

Returnable: November 5, 2012

Oral Argument Requested

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION
TO SEAL DEFENDANTS'
OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION *IN LIMINE* TO
PRECLUDE EXPERT
TESTIMONY**

This matter having come before the Court by motion of Defendants Zydus Pharmaceuticals, USA, Inc. and Cadila Healthcare, Limited (collectively "Zydus" or "Defendants") for leave to file under seal Zydus' Objection to the Takeda Plaintiffs' Motion *In Limine* to Preclude Expert Testimony on Invalidity

Portions of the Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Harry Brittain and the accompanying Exhibits A through C to the Declaration of Vincent P. Rao II, and the Court having reviewed the submissions of counsel ^{and no opposition having been filed,} for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants are granted leave to file under seal Zydus' Objection to the Takeda Plaintiffs' Motion *In Limine* to Preclude Expert Testimony on Invalidity Portions of the Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Harry Brittain and the accompanying Exhibits A through C to the Declaration of Vincent P. Rao II.

DATED: ~~November~~ 24, 2012
October

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Tonianne J. Bongiovanni, U.S.M.J.

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court terminate the aforementioned motion [Docket Entry No. 242], accordingly.

1 The Court is aware that any interested person has until November 5, 2012 to move to intervene with respect to this motion to seal. This Order in no way prejudices that right. Should an interested person timely move to intervene, the Court shall reexamine its findings at that time.